PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:			PCT					
	see form PCT/ISA/220			EN OPINION OF THE IAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
		· .		PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
	. *		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
1	cant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
1	national application No. /EP2004/052380	International filing date (a 30.09.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.09.2003				
	national Patent Classification (IPC) or C13/02, C23C2/00, F16C23/04							
-Appli DAN	cant NELI & C. OFFICINE MECCAI	NICHE S.P.A.						
1.	This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:					
	☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	pinion		N.				
	☑ Box No. II Priority							
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishr	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability				
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited	•					
	Box No. VII Certain defect							
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION							
	If a demand for international pre written opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.	al Preliminary Examinir ity other than this one t	ng Authority ("IPEA"). I o be the IPEA and the	However, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the				
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further options, see Form Po	CT/ISA/220.		·				
3.	For further details, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.						
1								

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

BEGUIN, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3056



10/573392

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052380

IAP20 Rec'd FOTOTO 23 MAR 2006

		IAP20 Rec'6707770 23 MAR 2006
	Box No. III B	asis of the opinion
١.	With regard to the language	the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
-	language	ion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to necessary to	any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of mat	rerial:
	□ a seq	uence listing
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of m	naterial:
	in writ	tten format
	☐ in con	nputer readable form
	c. time of filin	g/furnishing:
	□ conta	ined in the international application as filed.
	☐ filed t	ogether with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnis	hed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	has beer copies is	on, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto in filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ate, were furnished.
4	. Additional co	mments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052380

		1, 1	}								
_	Вох	No. II"	Priority						·		
١.	⊠	The foll	owing document has	not been	furnished	:		,			
		⊠ [']	copy of the earlier a	pplication	whose pri	ority has b	een clain	ned (Rule	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1 a	nd 66.7(a)).
			translation of the ea			•					
		Consec	quently it has not been less been establish	n nossihl	e to consid	ler the val	idity of th	e priority	claim. This	opinion l	nas
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.									
3.		WOC DO	not been possible to out available to the ISA neless been establish	at the tir	ne that the	search w	as condu	ctea (Ku	IE 17.1). I <i>t</i>	ns opinior	i nas
4.	Add	ditional d	observations, if neces	ssary:						•	
						•		•			
_	ind		Reasoned staten applicability; citation	nent und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i ns suppo) with reg rting suc	gard to n ch staten	ovelty, inv nent	entive st	ep or
1	. Sta	tement	· ·			•				•	
	No	velty (N)		Claims	2-6					
		•		No:	Claims	1					•
	Inv	entive s	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims						
				No:	Claims	1-6		. 1			
	Inc	lustrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-6					
				No:	Claims						
2	. Cit	ations a	and explanations								
			ate sheet				•				
	-										
			*				•				
-	Re	x No. \	/II Certain defects	in the in	ternationa	al applica	tion				
-			defects in the form of			•	_	ion hove	boon notes	٠	

Form PCT/ISA/ 237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

IAP20 Rec'd FCT/7TO 23 MAR 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/052380

Re Item V

Reasoned Statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such Statement.

1 Reference is made to the following documents

- D1: US-A-5 549 393 (MORANDO JORGE A) 27 August 1996 (1996-08-27)
- D2: US-A-3 796 471 (HOLM W) 12 March 1974 (1974-03-12)
- D3: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1999, no. 03, 31 March 1999 (1999-03-31) -& JP 10 317119 A (SUZUKI TEKKOSHO:KK), 2 December 1998 (1998-12-02)
- D4: US-A-6 065 876 (YASHIRO TOSHINORI ET AL) 23 May 2000 (2000-05-23)
- D5: US-A-5 099 780 (NAPPIER ROBERT W ET AL) 31 March 1992 (1992-03-31)
- D6: US-A-5 072 689 (OOKOUCHI TAKAHIKO ET AL) 17 December 1991 (1991-12-17)
- D7: DE 42 07 034 A (EICH JOSEPH KG & PARTNER GMBH) 16 September 1993 (1993-09-16)
- D8: US 2003/111779 A1 (MORANDO JORGE A) 19 June 2003 (2003-06-19)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1.

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1, regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject matter of claim 1 discloses, see especially col.1 lines 54-58, and col.2 line 59 to col.3 line 23, references applying to this document:

A support bearing for a roll (10), which can be adapted to be immersed in a liquid metal bath, defining a first rotation axis (66), comprising

- -a first bush (34) fitted coaxially on pins (14) at the end of a roll (10) and with substantially cylindrical outer surface,
- -a second bush (54) fixed to a supporting element (30) of the roll (10) wherein the first bush (34) is held inside the second bush (54) rotatingly around the axis (66),

wherein the second bush (54) has an outer convex (56) surface defining a toroidal surface characterised in that

the first and second bush (34, 54) define, during operation, a reciprocal contact surface throughout their common length, the second bush (54) is positioned inside a retaining ring (42,44,48) of the supporting element (30), said retaining ring having a substantially cylindrical inner surface whereby the bearing is suitable to rotate, substantially without friction, around a second axis orthogonal to the first axis (66).

Since all the features of claim 1 can be identified in D1 the subject matter of claim 1 is not new.

2.2 Furthermore the same objection is raised in the light of document D2, see the search report for relevant passages.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2.

The characteristic feature of dependent claim 2, namely the grooved pattern on the outside of the rotating first bush, is mainly shown in document D3 (see fig. 2 and 4) thus, claim 2 does not contain any feature which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.

3.2 Furthermore the same objection is raised in the light of document D4, see the search report for relevant passages.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3-4.

- 4.1 The characteristic feature of dependent claim 3, namely the axial longitudinal grooves on the inner surface of the stationary second bush, is mainly shown in document D5 (see fig. 3,4,5 and col. 4 lines 50-58) thus, claim 3 does not contain any feature which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.
- 4.2 Same reasoning applies for claim 4 based on same document D5.

PCT/EP2004/052380

Dependent claim 4 does not contain any feature which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.

5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 5.6.

The feature of dependent claims 5 (respectively 6) concerns minor constructional feature which is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.

Dependent claims 5,6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D6, D7, D8 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

1 In the description page 3 line 22 to page 4 line 9, it seems that the prior art document is referred to with wrong reference numbers.